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Abstract

India is celebrated for a resurgence of de novo entrepreneurship in recent decades. Entrants have engaged in creative risk-taking to provide market-based solutions for private or social needs despite not being scions of wealthy industrial or business families. In this policy piece, I first document and celebrate this rise of entrepreneurship. I then turn to the inconvenient fact that this entrepreneurship is heavily circumscribed in a handful of sectors, even more so than the similarly skewed incidence that one sees in the US ecosystem (as an imperfect benchmark). A gaping lacuna is the lack of what I refer to as science-based entrepreneurship, increasingly understood as perhaps the key source of long-run dynamism of mature economies.

The academic evidence is compelling. Science, through the recombination of past insights, provides the fuel for innovative entrepreneurial economic output. This requires universities that are not ossified into traditional silos, as well as vibrant local ecosystems that allow the translation of science into entrepreneurship.

Then, I turn to relevant policy efforts underway in India within the last decade to address this lacuna. Preliminary data indicate that these experiments are likely on successful trajectories. They are, however, deeply insufficient in the magnitude of investment and policy ambition. The rhetoric and reality must be rethought if India is to capitalize on its deep talent reservoirs and move on from what I see as a glass yet only quarter-full.
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1. Growth in Indian Entrepreneurship

As of 2022, India has the third-largest startup ecosystem in the world after the US and China, with over 65,861 recognized startups that have cumulatively created over 700,000 jobs. India’s startup ecosystem development has been driven by a confluence of factors internal to the country (particularly the creation of a digital public infrastructure and the recognition by the state of the importance of entrepreneurship) and the vagaries of geopolitics and global capital flows.

There has been a 32% p.a. growth in funding over the last decade. Venture capital (VC) and private equity (PE) funding increased from $3.1 billion in 2012 to a record $38.5 billion in 2021, with VC funding accounting for more than half of this. Both the number of deals and average deal size increased. Deal activity increased particularly in Series A, indicative of greater risk appetite for early-stage startups, and Series C and beyond, driven by multiple follow-on rounds by existing investors and an increased number of late-stage companies, both characteristics of a maturing startup ecosystem. More investors are participating in the startup ecosystem. The number of VC investors increased from 327 in 2012 to 455 in 2021. New members at angel investment firms rose ~7.5x between 2019-21.

Foreign investors are increasingly investing in Indian startups. Several factors have contributed to this. The Chinese startup ecosystem, India’s biggest competitor, is highly saturated with too much capital chasing too few assets, while India’s is relatively underpenetrated. US-China geopolitical tensions and the Chinese Communist Party’s regulatory action against the country’s tech ecosystem have also played a role. SoftBank, one of China’s most prominent foreign investors, said it intended to take a ‘more cautious approach’ to back the country’s startups while it has continued to build its India portfolio. US-based Tiger Global, also a big investor in China, increased investment activity in India. In 2021, it was the second-largest investor by deal volume.

Better prospects for secondary sales have also driven greater institutional investor participation in startups. The value of VC exits in was a robust $14.3 billion in 2021, a far cry from scant exits in recent decades past. The robustness was accentuated
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a Harvard Business School and Mittal Institute@Harvard University. This paper draws on my academic work and, more importantly, that of dozens of colleagues in the US, Europe and India. I am also informed by my experiences as an entrepreneur across the developing world and by my policy advocacy in India since 2015 through the good offices of Niti Aayog and the Office of the PSA. Recent conversations with individuals from the Atal Innovation Mission, Office of the Principal Scientific Adviser to the Government of India, Ministry of Defense-Government of India, Delhi Science and Technology Cluster, and Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, were very helpful, as were discussions with Lee Fleming (Berkeley), Ramana Nanda (Imperial College, UK), Jasjit Singh (INSEAD, Singapore), and Chintan Vaishnav (Niti Aayog AIM). Radhika Kak and Mansoor Masood of the Harvard Business School India Research Center supported the writing of this paper.
by this being a mix of secondary market strategic sales (60% of total VC exits) and primary capital raises through IPOs (40%). Two recent blockbuster IPOs have boosted confidence. Zomato, a restaurant aggregator and food delivery app, raised INR 9,375 crore ($1.25 billion) in an IPO oversubscribed 38 times, and Nykaa, an omnichannel retailer of beauty and related products, raised INR 5,352 crore ($715 million) in an IPO oversubscribed 82 times. The SEBI's decision to allow loss-making companies to list on public exchanges with limited restrictions in 2021 has increased the number of VC-funded companies listing on Indian exchanges. SEBI's Innovators Growth Platform, launched the same year, and aimed at relaxing listing requirements for issuers in technology or IP intensive fields such as IT, bio-technology and data analytics has also helped. The platform relaxes restrictions on pre-issue capital, allows discretionary placements of an issue and listing of shares with differential voting rights, and simplifies delisting requirements. Arguably, these are signs of somewhat greater investor sophistication and greater regulatory comfort with earlier stage and riskier assets becoming available in the market.

Higher valuations, too, have encouraged investors. In May 2022, India became the third country to produce 100 unicorns after the US (559 unicorns) and China (173 unicorns). India has seen an acceleration in unicorn generation. 44 unicorns emerged in 2021, versus 42 in China. In Q1 2022, India added 14 unicorns compared with 5 in Q1 2021. India’s 100 unicorns have raised $90 billion and are valued at over $333 billion. They have acquired 326 companies and created employment for 380,000 people.

The promulgation of government initiatives to nurture entrepreneurship has helped particularly by signaling the support of the state for the phenomenon. For example, the “Startup India” initiative, launched in 2016 under the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, is an important such endeavor. The Atal Innovation Mission (AIM), also launched in 2016 under the NITI Aayog, and therefore equidistant from all ministries, is another. The AIM seeks to improve educational opportunities for school-aged children, promote R&D, and connect various stakeholders through a network of incubators.

A maturing digital infrastructure has been a catalyst, and is itself in a sense a result of an encouraging symbiosis between the state and private sector entrepreneurs. The Unified Payment Interface (UPI), has made bank-to-bank transfers free and seamless via mobile phone, accelerating the adoption of digital payments. This has helped digital services startups increase market penetration and e-commerce companies reduce cash on delivery orders. Over 22.3 billion transactions worth $547 billion were made through UPI in 2020-21, a 78% increase in volume and a 93% increase in value from a year earlier. Furthermore, low data prices pushed down by market competition, increased internet penetration from 4% in 2007 to 45% in 2021, and monthly data consumption per user from 805 MB in 2015 to 17 GB in 2021. The Aadhaar-driven electronic Know Your Customer (e-KYC) has enabled companies to evaluate credit histories more efficiently and offer financial products in a paperless format.

Through all this, Bangalore has emerged as the startup hub of India, being listed in the top 10 startups cities in the world and the third-best in Asia, behind Beijing and
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*The cheapest GB of data in India is as low as $0.05.*
Shanghai. In 2021, it accounted for half of all VC funds raised, 40% of all deals, and was home to 14 of the 25 most funded startups.

2. Weaknesses in the Indian startup ecosystem

Notwithstanding the progress, India’s emerging entrepreneurial ecosystem continues to face considerable challenges.

Early-stage investments are disproportionately channeled into a few sectors. Over the last decade, investors predominantly invested in the e-commerce, technology, and financial services sectors, in that order, while other sectors like healthcare, agriculture, and ideas emanating from the natural sciences, received limited funding (see exhibit 2). The fact that 65 of India’s 100 unicorns are in the e-commerce, fin-tech, and IT-services sectors and not a single unicorn is based on advances in the natural sciences is a testament to this. Of course, the concentration of venture and early-stage private equity funding is a global phenomenon with similar trends in the US.

Gender parity in funding is also an issue. Between 2018-22, startups with women co-founders accounted for 17% of the number of fundraising deals and 6.4% of funds raised. Solo female founders accounted for an even smaller share; 3.4% of all deals and 0.78% of funding value. Various government, corporate, and investor-led programs, like the NITI Aayog Women Entrepreneurship Platform, the Telangana government’s WE Hub, and the Godrej group’s Beauty-prenuer program, have targeted boosting female entrepreneurship. Still, these initiatives are few and far between.

The competition and incentive-based innovation infrastructure is also anemic. Developed countries use incentives to boost innovation, particularly in science and technology. Some examples are the UK “Grand Challenges”, the US federal government platform, challenges.gov, and the competitions run by the Chinese government. In contrast, in India, competition-driven innovation is still emerging. While the AIM has launched a few competitions to promote innovation, and India recently began hosting a local version of Shark Tank, a US reality show that has inspired entrepreneurship in young adults, to rally support among the public for this kind of approach, these efforts to broad-base incentives are at an early stage.

Though incubators have been growing, their number and quality is as yet inadequate. In 2019, there were only 0.4 incubators and accelerators per million people in India, compared with 4.5 in the US and 2.1 in China. Further, many incubators are housed within academic institutions and operate in silos with insufficient interaction.
and partnership with the outside world. Hence, many early-stage startups miss out on the networking, mentoring, and funding opportunities most critical for success.

Well-known gaps exist in the Indian education system. Its rigid emphasis on rote learning impedes students’ creative and analytical thinking, practical learning, and communication skills. These skills are vital for entrepreneurship. A recent study found that despite consistent talent shortages in the IT industry, less than 20% of engineers are employable for software jobs and only 3.5% for core IT product roles.\footnote{Khanna, Tarun, Palepu, Krishna G., 1997. “Why Focused Strategies May Be Wrong for Emerging Markets,” \textit{Harvard Business Review}, 75(4): 41-51.}

Finally, notwithstanding the progress made to streamline regulatory processes by the introduction of the Goods and Service Tax, and self-certification for compliance with labor law under Startup India, the regulatory environment remains complex. Entrepreneurs have to deal with numerous agencies to obtain the permits required to start a business. Weak enforcement of intellectual property rights is an issue. Further, due to a backlog of cases and insufficient judicial capacity, resolving disputes in Indian courts is a lengthy process. Early-stage startups spend considerable time and resources on regulatory issues, which diverts attention away from core business building.

3. Specific Institutional Voids relevant to Science and Tech Entrepreneurship

In earlier writings, I co-developed (with Krishna Palepu) a simple taxonomy for thinking about the structural inadequacies that bedevil the bringing together of buyers and sellers to consummate transactions in so-called emerging markets. In other words, what particular combinations of information or contracting problems make a market ‘emerging’ rather than one that has matured or ‘emerged’?\footnote{Khanna, Tarun, Palepu, Krishna G., and Bullock, Richard. 2010. \textit{Winning in Emerging Markets: A Road Map for Strategy and Execution}. Boston: Harvard Business Press.}\footnote{Children between the ages of five and 19.}

Relative to more mature economies, entrepreneurs in emerging markets find it difficult to access information about each other, evaluate credit histories, and credibly ascertain the quality of products and services. When disputes arise, contractual or arbitration mechanisms to resolve these are limited or inefficient. Mature economies rely on a network of specialized intermediaries such as independent auditors, financial and other analysts, media agencies, headhunters, a government to promulgate rules, and a judiciary to enforce them (see exhibit 3). We refer to the absence or paucity of such intermediaries as institutional voids.

With regards to science and deep-tech entrepreneurship in India, woeful informational and contracting voids in talent and capital factor markets manifest in several arenas, such as these below.

3.1 The State of Education in India

measures. A recent survey of over 20,000 government school students found that the proportion of grade 3 students who can read grade 1 text and recognize double-digit numbers decreased from 41% and 75% in 2014 to 24% and 60% in 2020.34

India also lags on tertiary education (TE) enrollments. Its TE enrollment ratio was 29.4% in 2020, versus China’s 58.4%, EU’s 73%, and USA’s 87%.35 India’s education policy targets reaching a ratio of 50% by 2035.36

In 2019, 38.5 million students37 were enrolled in higher education studies. Of these, 30.6 million are enrolled in undergraduate programs. Around 25% of undergraduates are enrolled in science-based programs; 4.7 million in B.Sc., 2.1 million in BTech, 1.5 million in engineering,38 and 1.3 million in medical sciences. Of the 6.7 million students who complete their undergraduate degree yearly,39 12.5% receive engineering and tech degrees;40,41

Besides enrolment, the scarcity of high-quality science-based undergraduate programs is another limitation of the current tertiary education system. Over 2.2 million students42 sit for the IIT entrance exams for 16,000 seats, equating to an acceptance ratio of 0.72%.43 The effective acceptance ratio plummets to 0.3% if one accounts for the reservation of over 60% of seats for students from backward classes and economically weaker backgrounds. In comparison, top-quality undergraduate engineering programs at US universities such as Stanford, Cornell, MIT, and Princeton, which attract global talent, have acceptance rates of between 5% and 10%. Consequently, many high-caliber students in India are forced to settle for second-rung colleges or go to foreign educational institutes. The number of students attending overseas universities increased from 56,000 in 1999 to 589,000 in 2019.44 While ed-tech companies and other open-source digital resources are enabling access to top-quality resources online, the absence of adequate high-quality physical educational institutions is a systemic void.

As the average STEM student receives a low-quality education, they are not readily employable after graduation. The educational system’s emphasis on rote learning deprives students of sufficient practical learning opportunities. There is little interaction between academia and business; consequently, students are offered few opportunities to understand how science and technology can be applied in real-world situations. By the time students reach higher education levels, they lack the creativity, critical thinking skills, and open-mindedness required to become successful innovators and entrepreneurs.45 Furthermore, Indian educational institutes provide little training on soft skills like communication, negotiation, etc., a disadvantage that persists over time. Indian entrepreneurs tend to under-network, possibly because they lack the necessary social skills.46 Entrepreneurial education, including training on spotting trends, evaluating product-market fit, etc., represents another gap in the education ecosystem.

---

a Tertiary education refers to all higher education after 12 years of schooling.
b Medical sciences include nursing, pharmacy, pathology, physiotherapy, homeopathy and Ayurveda.
c While the Ministry of Education includes diary technology, urban planning and transportation planning in its definition of “Engineering & Technology,” students receiving an undergraduate degree in these courses represent less than 0.5% of all graduates. Engineering graduates account for ~90%, while IT and architecture account for 5% and 1.7% respectively.
Given the inadequacies of the education system, the findings of a 2019 Aspiring Minds study pointing to the unemployability of graduates should come as no surprise. The study found that less than 20% of engineering graduates are employable for software jobs, less than 8% for core engineering jobs, and only 4% for core IT product jobs (see exhibit 4). The founder of one of India’s largest IT services companies, Infosys, commented, “Engineering colleges in India are churning out only 25 percent quality engineers and nearly 80-85 percent of youngsters are not suitably trained for any job.” India is currently short of 500,000 workers in tech. By 2026, this gap is estimated to widen to 1.4 - 1.9 million workers. Deficits are particularly pronounced in new-age digital skills like AI, big data analytics, the Internet of things, and cloud computing, where there is a current shortage of 140,000 workers, up from 62,000 in 2018.

Not many students continue education beyond the undergraduate level. Of every 100 undergraduates, 23 receive a graduate degree, and 0.6 a Ph.D. degree. India has half the number of graduating doctoral students compared to China and the US. These figures are partly representative of an inadequate number of higher education programs. A 2019-20 report found that only 35% of Indian higher education institutions run post-graduate level programs, and only 2.7%, Ph.D. programs. Of the 200,000 students enrolled in Ph.D. programs, around half go into engineering, technology, and science-based programs, showing clear interest in these fields.

As low numbers of students receive graduate degrees, academic research in India lags that in other countries. The ecosystem for research as a career path is largely missing, with insufficient rewards and recognition for those who enter the field. Indian higher education institutions also underspend on research, spending $3 billion on average, versus $24 billion and $62 billion by institutes in China and the US respectively. As of 2018, India had 156 researchers per million citizens, versus 4,205 and 1,089 in the US and China, respectively, and a global average of 1,500. Between 1996 and 2020, India ranked 7th globally in the number of science and tech research publications, with 2 million published articles, while the US and China, the leading countries, published 14 million and 7 million articles, respectively. By 2018, India’s cumulative contribution to global scientific research was merely 5%, compared with China’s 21% and the US’ 17%. A survey of highly cited papers by country showed India lagged China by a factor of six.

### 3.2 Lack of Funding for Scientific Research and Entrepreneurship

A bedrock of independent, inquiry-based research is a *sine qua non* for scientific progress, innovation, and ultimately long-term growth. The US research ecosystem is fueled by government spending. Over decades, about a third of US patents rely on federally funded research, and these tend to be the patents that are more cited, and more commercially valuable.

India’s persistent underfunding of basic research represents perhaps the most significant headwind to science-based entrepreneurship. India spends less than 1% of GDP on R&D, a ratio that has in fact been declining over time (see exhibit 5). In
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contrast, Germany allocates nearly 3% of GDP to R&D, the US spends 2.5%, and China, more than 2%. Global leaders like Israel and South Korea dedicate over 4% of GDP to R&D, while advanced European economies, between 3% and 4%. Other BRIC countries also spend more on R&D as a % of GDP. Even after adjusting for PPP, the US spends 10 times more, and China seven times more, than India, on R&D (see exhibit 6).

Low R&D spending limits India’s technological advancements. Patent filings, for example, are one rough indicator of a country’s technological progress. In 2002, both China and India filed close to 800 patent applications at the US Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), of which 390 were granted to China, and 267 to India. In 2015, China filed over 21,300 applications while India filed less than 8,000. From 2002-15, the share of foreign patents issued by the USPTO to China increased from 0.4% to 5.3%, while India’s share increased only from 0.3% to 2%. Even within India, only 15% of patents issued by the Indian patent office accrue to Indians and Indian companies, while the remaining are issued to foreign nationals and corporations (see exhibit 5).

Given the general paucity of funds, it is unsurprising that ideas emanating from untested science find it difficult to attract funding. Science and deep-tech startups typically have a limited sense of commercial viability when they apply for funding. Investments in such ventures present a higher risk of failure than in more traditional segments. Furthermore, it can often be challenging for VC executives to accurately assess the research or technology proposed by such startups. VC firms also find it challenging to match entrepreneurs’ needs for capital over long-term horizons, as they operate under finite timeframes for returning capital to their own investors.

These factors make it imperative for society – including but not limited to the government – to find ways to fund science-based startups that emanate from novel science, initially by using funds of the sort not available through VCs for de-risking this science. The Biotechnology Industry Research Assistance Council (BIRAC) under the Department of Biotechnology of the Ministry of Science and Technology represents a small but encouraging start. BIRAC has funded nearly 500 med-tech companies, helping bring more than 50 products to the market. But on the whole, government spending on R&D remains grossly insufficient (see exhibit 5). One reason for this is the belief that R&D spending is a ‘luxury that India cannot afford.’ With a GDP per capita of $6,500 in PPP terms, India is still a relatively poor country. There is enormous pressure to spend constrained resources on building critical infrastructure rather than on categories viewed as non-essential, including research. The concentration of government spending on R&D is also a problem. More than 50% of government R&D funds are allocated to two agencies, the Defense Research & Development Organization (DRDO) and the Department of Space (DOS). Other agencies, such as the Indian Council for Medical Research and the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, receive little funding, limiting their research output.

Although the private sector’s contribution to total R&D spend is increasing, from 25% in 1991 to 37% in 2018, it lags behind other countries. In the US and Germany, the share of private sector funding of R&D is ~70%. While profit as a % of GDP at Indian firms has increased from 0.8% in 2003 to 2.2% in 2018, there has not been a proportional increase in R&D investment. In 2020-21, Indian listed companies spent 0.9% of revenues on R&D, versus S&P 500 companies which on average spent 4%.
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Only one Indian company, Tata Motors, featured in the list of top 100 global spenders on R&D, while the list featured 38 companies from the US, 14 from Japan, 12 from Germany, and 8 from China. Average R&D spend across the top 100 spenders was a whopping 7.5% of total revenue. Of the top 2,500 spenders on R&D, only 29 companies, were Indian. 21 operate in just three sectors: pharmaceuticals, automobiles, and software. Even within the pharmaceutical industry, an area of strength for India, firms spend only 8% of revenues on R&D, while US firms spend 21% on average.

Private sector spending on R&D has languished for many reasons. One reason is the scarcity of basic publicly funded research that the private sector can build upon. Indeed, the roots of many commercially used products can be traced back to research funded by universities or the government. In the 1970s, the US Department of Defense (DoD) funded research to develop the ‘Global Positioning System’ (GPS), a satellite navigation system. This technology was later made available for public use and is now an invaluable facet of everyday consumer products such as cars and phones. The Internet was developed by the Advanced Research Projects Agency, a DoD-funded computer science research project that aimed to allow scientists and researchers to share information, knowledge, and findings. The Human Genome Project, publicly funded by the US Department of Energy and US National Institutes of Health, brought together scientists from across the world to discover the complete set of human genes and the sequence of DNA bases in the human genome. The findings have transformed biology. Some of the most innovative products today, including the reusable rocket system developed by SpaceX, LED bulbs, the Apple iPad, and Amazon’s Kindle, are the results of R&D investment by private companies in technologies that represent the de-risking of publicly funded science. In the US, this conceptual framework – the idea that the fruits of publicly funded research are equally accessible to all and that individuals can establish property rights on the incremental advancements atop these – was facilitated by the Bayh-Dole Act of 1980. This symbiosis of individual entrepreneurial agency building upon publicly funded science is largely missing in India.

Related to the difficulty of accessing novel science is the observation that Indian firms are often focused on low-cost imitations of western ideas. Few Indian companies aim to grow through investment in research and innovation. It is also uncommon for large corporates to invest in ideas stemming from other smaller private research entities and individuals, as happens frequently in the US. Amazon, for example, has established two funds to do this. The $1 billion Amazon Industrial Innovation Fund is dedicated to logistics and supply chain investments, and the $200 million Alexa Fund is dedicated to investments in voice technology innovation. Such funds play an essential role in developing the research ecosystem. In this regard, the government’s decision to allow firms to count R&D grants to government-funded incubators and research institutions, as part of the mandatory 2% of revenue corporate social responsibility target is a positive step. Leading Indian research institutions are reporting an increase in sponsorship. IIT-Madras’s sponsored research has seen steady growth, with funding increasing from Rs 108 crores in 2014-15 to Rs 590 crores in 2020-21.
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*The Bayh-Dole Act permits non-profit organizations and small business firm contractors to retain ownership of inventions developed through public funding. It also authorizes federal agencies the right to grant exclusive licenses for inventions owned by the federal government to others.*
Increased foreign direct investment (FDI) in R&D, currently at 0.13% of total FDI, also can boost R&D activity in India. FDI not only brings in funds but also training and expertise that can help propel India into a world-class research and innovation hub. There have been many examples of foreign partners accelerating the development of innovations. The rapid development of the Covid-19 vaccine is one example. Incentivizing foreign firms to establish global R&D centers in India is one proposal to boost FDI in research. Some firms like CISCO and General Electric have already done this. FDI in R&D can be boosted by fast-tracking IP granting procedures, setting up specialized courts for IP disputes, and simplifying regulatory compliance requirements.

3.3 Lack of Collaboration in Research

Innovative solutions to large-scale global problems typically require interdisciplinary thinking, and therefore collaboration amongst researchers is crucial. A 2018 OECD report envisioned that the future of scientific knowledge will come from collaboration: “Innovation springs not from individuals thinking and working alone, but through cooperation and collaboration with others to draw on existing knowledge to create new knowledge.” A fallout of the explosive growth in our cumulative amount of knowledge is increased specialization. For example, biology today has numerous branches with increasingly narrow specializations within each branch. As research moves further, the resulting silos may limit the acquisition of the broad knowledge and collaboration required to achieve transformational change. Attempts to tackle complex real-world problems from narrow fields of vision will likely result in fragmented incomplete solutions.

In India, the lack of collaboration within academia and between academia and industry presents a gap in the innovation ecosystem.

Lack of collaboration within research

Few Indian institutes have multi-disciplinary research platforms. An example of what I have in mind, parochial to my backyard, is the Harvard University Centre for the Environment (HUCE), embracing an interdisciplinary approach to promote research and education on the environment. The center connects students and faculty at Harvard University from diverse fields, including chemistry, earth, and planetary sciences, engineering and applied sciences, history, biology, public health and medicine, government, business, economics, religion, literature, and law. We have long known that substantial scientific advance comes through what is perhaps best described as a combinatorial advancement process, mixing and matching bits and pieces of scientific and humanistic insight. By connecting scholars and practitioners from different disciplines – transcending conventional boundaries of pure science, social science and humanities – the center provides aspiring researchers, policymakers, and corporate leaders a comprehensive interdisciplinary platform for research and education. It is worth emphasizing an emerging consensus that pure science (and engineering) is more
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effective in addressing human needs when its insights are juxtaposed paired with those from the humanities.

**Lack of international collaboration in research**

India lags behind other countries on international research collaboration. In 2019, 19% of India’s research output stemmed from international collaboration, versus 23% in China, and 41% in the US (see exhibit 5).  

International collaboration in research is important as it enables access to global talent pools, and larger amounts of data and infrastructural facilities, thereby improving output. It also offers many personal benefits for researchers. It typically leads to greater recognition, as papers with multiple authors are more likely to be cited. It enables skill development through mutual learning, and opens up opportunities for mobility, leading to personal and professional growth and satisfaction. These factors, in turn, make research a more attractive career path for young people.

There are many examples of successful international scientific collaborations dating back several decades. In the 1970's, the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), which aims to enhance food security using research in agricultural science, produced one of the first high-yielding rice varieties that helped stave off mass famine in Asia. Another well-known example is the effort that led to the International Space Station (ISS) project, a collaboration between 16 nations to build and operate a world-class research center in space. A more recent international collaboration, in which India is largely absent, is the Earth Biogenome Project, that aims to sequence genomes of the Earth’s bio-diversity over a period of 10 years. Many other international collaborations continue to solve some of the world’s biggest problems, such as AIDS, polio, environmental degradation, etc.

**Lack of collaboration between research and industry**

Across the world, collaboration between industry and academia has been the critical fuel for innovation and technological progress. Industry represents the best option to translate the gains in scientific knowledge into practical applications in the form of products and services. Regions that have been able to structure collaboration into networks, such as California’s Silicon Valley and Cambridge’s Bio Cluster, have sustained long-term success. Research finds that the higher level of informal and formal networks between firms and between firms and academic and other research organizations has been instrumental in Silicon Valley’s success.

In India, the gap in collaboration between academia and industry stems from a divergence in how stakeholders view each others’ roles. Researchers view their role as building foundational knowledge and tend not to focus on the practical deployment of their research. Industry tends to treat government-funded research institutions as part of a larger bureaucracy, which limits the free flow of information between the two and slows any iterative give-and-take and the resultant refinement of relevant scientific ideas. Private sector firms are also reluctant to invest time and resources to bring
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academic research to a market-ready state. This mistrust of mutual capacity and intent has resulted in limited networks of interaction and communication.

Given the extensive co-location of research institutions and industry, there is enormous scope to collaborate. The National Chemical Laboratory (NCL) is an example of a collaboration that has worked well. Under Dr. Raghunath Mashelkar’s leadership in the early 1990s, the NCL, one of the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research’s (CSIR) 37 labs across the country, collaborated extensively with firms like General Electric to develop and patent polymers. Mashelkar believed that research organizations should focus on patent creation as ‘patents are wealth creators.’ His focus on ‘patent, publish and prosper’ resulted in NCL owning 88% of all foreign patents granted in 1994 across all CSIR’s labs. Upon taking over as director-general of CSIR, Mashelkar endeavored to inculcate this mindset across all labs. Such examples of collaboration, however, remain the exception rather than the norm.

**Insufficiency of business incubators**

Business incubators are a relatively nascent phenomenon in India. There are ~0.4 incubators per million citizens, compared with 4.5 and 2.1 incubators per million citizens in the US and China, respectively. Incubators are concentrated in a few elite academic institutions (IITs, IIMs) and select states such as Tamil Nadu, Karnataka, Maharashtra, and Delhi and not widely accessible to aspiring entrepreneurs.

There is also scope to improve the quality of incubators. Indian incubators are criticized for being primarily providers of physical infrastructure rather than technical know-how, domain expertise, and relevant commercialization advice. In a 2019 NASSCOM survey, about 60% of startup respondents said that Indian incubators underperform their global peers, half said they could find alternative investors more capable of enabling genuine value creation, and a third said the Indian incubator model is outdated. India is yet to witness a startup from an incubator achieve unicorn status. A study of Chilean incubators found that providing basic services like funding and infrastructure does not tangibly impact new venture performance but training and mentorship can significantly help. With the advent of co-working spaces and other peripheral infrastructure, incubators must offer differentiated services. It is essential to design and track KPIs to assess the progress and impact of incubators. Without such frameworks, inefficient incubators may continue to operate indefinitely without generating adequate value, diminishing impact, and experience.

Some academic institutions have established successful incubators. The Indian Institute of Madras’s Incubation Cell (IIIMIC), for example, has incubated 233 startups.

---

a CSIR is a network of labs, outreach centers and innovation complexes under the Ministry of Science & Technology, focusing on areas such as environment, farm, food, drinking water, housing, and energy.
d This NASSCOM survey was part of the report ‘Start-up Catalysts- Incubators and Accelerators, 2020’. Participants n=24.
which have raised over $296 million, filed for 100+ patents, and created over 4,000 jobs.\textsuperscript{88,89} One of the cell’s most successful ventures is Ather Energy, a firm that pioneered the manufacturing of smart electric scooters and the setting up of electric vehicle charging infrastructure in India. A key reason for the cell’s success is its strategic location within India’s first Science and Technology Research Park, designed on the lines of the Stanford Research Park. The Park houses over 70 research organisations across 17 sectors, and 200 labs and testing facilities. It has generated 1,300 patents.

4. Current Public Policy Initiatives

Institutional voids bedeviling science-based entrepreneurship cannot be mandated away through deregulation and liberalization; it takes both significant time and expertise and, frankly, institutional entrepreneurship here itself, to eliminate these voids or ameliorate their effects. In the following section, I elaborate on distinct policy initiatives introduced by the government to boost innovation and entrepreneurship in the science and deep-tech sector, and how these initiatives are bridging the existing institutional voids in this space.

The first, the Atal Innovation Mission (AIM), set up under the NITI Aayog, has demonstrated considerable traction in the innovation ecosystem through its programs. The second, the Science and Technology Clusters project under the Office of the Principal Scientific Advisor (PSA), is described below but it is still too early to offer an assessment. Another program at the confluence of these two policy initiatives is the AIM Program for Researchers in Innovation, Market Readiness, and Entrepreneurship (PRIME), which aims specifically to promote entrepreneurship in science.

4.1 Atal Innovation Mission

The AIM was launched in 2016 to provide an umbrella under which a wide variety of programs to catalyze the innovation ecosystem could find an institutional home. The report resulted from an approximately year-long consultative process in 2015 launched by the Government of India under Niti Aayog auspices that I had the privilege of chairing. The underlying conceptual framework sought to suggest ways to ameliorate the effects of institutional voids over the short, medium, and longer-term (see exhibit 7). Exhibit 8 presents a summary of how programs of the AIM (Atal Tinkering Labs and Atal Incubation Centers) address broad categories of relevant voids. I have also made some back of the envelope calculations on the value generated at the ATLs and AICs between 2016 and 2021 (see exhibit 9).

Atal Tinkering Labs

The Atal Tinkering Labs (ATL) project is one such longer term initiative that seeks to bridges institutional voids by aggregating and providing educational resources, equipment and mentorship services to school students.

The program entails the setting up of physical laboratories in schools, equipped with scientific kits and apparatus for students between the 6\textsuperscript{th} and 12\textsuperscript{th} grades. The hope is that the opportunity to “tinker,” and learn by doing, will sow the seeds of a scientific mindset and an entrepreneurial spirit amongst children from an early age. The
program’s vision is to create one million innovators with complex problem solving, critical thinking, adaptive learning, and computational skills.

The AIM provides a grant of up to INR 20 Lakhs over 5 years for the setting up of each ATL. Schools must apply to be admitted into the program – de minimus physical facilities are needed as is the identification of a school teacher who is the ATL in-charge – and selected schools then receive the grant. Up to INR 10 lakh is to be spent on capital expenditures, including machinery, equipment, and tools, and the remaining INR 10 lakhs may be used for operational and maintenance expenses. The AIM has a mandate to fund 10,000 ATLs in the first phase. As of May 2022, it has funded 9,600 spaces in 34 states and Union Territories. These have been rolled out in implementation waves over recent years, with attention to locating ATLs across states with varying levels of economic and social development, and across some aspects of the urban-rural divide.

The labs have been equitably distributed across the country, with 53% of labs in states with a GDP per capita above the national median, and 47% in states with a GDP per capita below the median. The AIM has also given particular importance to states typically ignored such as Jammu & Kashmir, where it plans to set up 1,000 labs, and the north-eastern states, some of which currently have the highest number of labs per million residents. Further, more than 70% of ATLs have been set up at government schools. The labs have engaged over 7.5 million students who have created over 2.1 lakh projects. Through various programs, the ATLs offer students an array of opportunities. ATL schools are encouraged to engage with stakeholders in their communities to better understand and address their challenges. ATLs also leverage their communities through the Mentors of Change (MoC) initiative. Mentors are selected and trained volunteers who support the ATL-in-charge teacher by helping students with technical know-how and advice on commercial aspects of innovation; some also offer internships in the organizations with which they are affiliated. The MoC initiative has connected more than 5,100 mentors with over 4,600 schools. While this program is a step in the right direction, its scale is still small. Today, less than half of existing ATLs have even one mentor and the per-ATL mentor count is very low.

The ATLs also address voids by serving as credibility enhancers to students that participate in its events and challenges. These include the ‘Student Innovator Program’ (SIP) and the Student Entrepreneurship Program (SEP). Winners of these challenges are offered opportunities such as internships and access to international programs and additional resources and mentorship.

To motivate participants, the AIM regularly celebrates high-performing ATL participants through initiatives like the Exemplary Teachers of Change and the ATL of the Month initiative. The AIM also organizes a mentor roundtable bi-annually, where exemplary mentors are invited to spend time with senior officials from the NITI Aayog. While such recognition is helpful, a lot more can be done. Teachers at ATL
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a A program in collaboration with AIICs to train students on business and entrepreneurial skills.
b A 10-month program where top teams from the SIP work with corporate and industrial partners and receive further mentorship and training on product design and commercialization.
c An example is the Indo-Singapore Innovation Festival-Inspreneur, organized by the High Commission of India in Singapore. In 2018, 30 top-performing ATL teams were invited to present their innovations to the Prime Minister of Singapore, Lee Hsien Loong, and the Prime Minister of India, Narendra Modi.
d An initiative to recognize high performing teachers in-charges of the tinkering labs.
e An initiative to recognize students and teachers at high performing labs by means of an award.
schools are typically on low salaries with few opportunities and platforms for recognition. Non-financial incentives including more opportunities to travel, learn, and connect with a national and international community could motivate them. Likewise, small financial incentives for achieving key outcomes could also incentivize better performance.

Notwithstanding initial successes, the program faces several challenges. For starters, there is a wide gap between the pre-existing educational level of a vast majority of students at ATL schools and the skills required to “tinker” at the labs. Bridging this gap requires cultural change, and will take time. The quality of teacher and mentor engagement across ATLs also varies significantly. Given the high work-loads for teachers at schools, there is often little incentive for them to put in the additional work required for students to tinker and innovate effectively. Further, the infrastructural pre-requisites for setting up labs and the single language of instruction (English) curtail the reach of the program. Many schools have also reported compliance procedures to be cumbersome. Another limitation of the ATLs is the lack of outreach to a critical stakeholder in the child’s innovation journey, the parents. Finally, an obvious challenge is that the AIM cuts off funding to ATLs five years after their initiation. While the AIM encourages labs to become financially self-sufficient through self-funding and corporate partnerships, this approach will inevitably lead to some labs being discontinued, especially in rural areas, possibly stymieing the momentum of the program.

The AIM is attempting to address some of these challenges. To simplify compliance and improve engagement, the AIM is creating regional clusters that will decentralize monitoring. To improve accessibility, the ATL is piloting mobile and virtual ATLs, and designing resources in vernacular languages through the Vernacular Innovation Program (VIP). Further, the AIM is also collaborating with the Ministry of Education (MoE), CBSE,^a^ NCERT,^b^ and the state governments, to integrate the ATL pedagogy into the school curriculum. This initiative should help scale the program to 50,000 schools, the newly adopted AIM target for its ATL program.

**Atal Incubation Centers**

Through the Atal Incubation Centers (AIC) program, the AIM aims to build an ecosystem of business incubators where entrepreneurs can gain access to a variety of facilities, including physical infrastructure, training and education, and access to key stakeholders including investors (AIC seed funding, and also a network of venture capitalists, corporate funding, family offices), other innovators, and mentors.

The AICs act as transaction facilitators by bringing together providers and users of risk capital. They serve as aggregators by consolidating pools of capital and facilitating entrepreneurs’ access to these pools. Finally, they are information analyzers by converting and making available to possible partners otherwise-tacit information about the quality of entrepreneurial teams and their ideas.

The AIM provides a grant of up to INR 10 crore over five years for the setting up of an AIC^c^ or to support investments at ‘Established Incubation Centers’ (EICs).^d^ Subsequent tranches of the grant are conditional on meeting minimum performance

---

^a^ A national level educational governing body set up to raise the standard of education in India.
^b^ A government body that conducts research, and prepares and publishes materials for school education.
^c^ EICs are existing incubators which have already been in operation for a minimum of three years.
metrics. The AIM has also raised INR 58 crore in matching contributions from participating institutions for infrastructural investment at the AICs.

Academic institutions, research labs, and corporates are eligible to apply for an AIC. The applicant institution is responsible for hiring a full time-CEO and supporting team within 30 days of receiving the grant. The AIM requires that the CEO has experience in entrepreneurship, fund raising, technology, or incubation. The CEO of one of the bio-incubators in Bangalore, for example, has a PhD in brain research from a university in Germany, postdoctoral training from the University of California San Francisco and has completed a biotech management program for executives from the Wharton School. He previously worked at a biotech consulting company and has also been an adviser to many biotech firms in the US.

An example worth highlighting is Phool.co, a startup that recycles floral waste into incense sticks and oils. This venture received a seed grant of INR 30 lakhs from the Indian Institute of Information Technology Hyderabad AIC, and raised INR 60 crores in its Series A in May 2022 from other investors. Another is Bugworks, a clinical-stage bio-pharmaceutical company which aims to develop affordable, accessible, novel therapies to combat antimicrobial resistance and cancer. It was set up in 2014 and incubated at an EIC, the Centre for Cellular and Molecular Platforms (C-CAMP). C-CAMP is a bio-incubator set up by the Department of Biotechnology and receives support from the AIM. Bugworks is also a part of the Combating Antibiotics-Resistant Bacteria Accelerator (CARB-X), a nonprofit accelerator at Boston University, where it raised INR 20 crore in 2017. It raised an additional INR 135 crore in its Series B in February 2022.

The AIC program faces several challenges in practice. Many centers have struggled to build market connections, particularly with investors, where the landscape is highly fragmented. Few have successfully scaled manufacturing-based ventures or backed ventures that are able to supply the government. To achieve success, centers need to have clear targets, and progress needs to be monitored.

The AIM is addressing some of these challenges. In collaboration with IIT Delhi, it is piloting a framework of 23 input, process, and output indicators to strengthen evaluation. Some of these indicators include number of startups incubated per year, active network partners, external funding raised, patents filed, and jobs created. The framework classifies incubators based on characteristics such as age and focus areas to more accurately measure impact. For example, for research focused incubators, the framework places greater emphasis on parameters like patents filed and external funds raised, while for social incubators it emphasizes job creation. AIM is also creating virtual platforms to connect startups with investors, and other key stakeholders like mentors, manufacturers, and the government. While these are steps in the right direction, significant effort is still required to improve incubator performance. An acid test will be whether underperforming AIM incubators have their support withdrawn if and when they fail to meet pre-specified performance targets.

Working with ministries

---

a Investors include Sixth Sense Venture, Indian Angel Network Fund and actor Alia Bhatt.
b Investors include Lightrock India, The University of Tokyo Edge Capital (UTEC) Japan, Global Brain Corporation, 3ONE4Capital, Acquipharma Holdings, IM Holdings and Featherlite Group India.
In addition to independent initiatives such as the ATLs and AICs, the AIM also engages with several ministries through various programs and competitions. These initiatives are aimed at synergizing the efforts of various ministries in promoting innovation and entrepreneurship in their sectors. For instance, the AIM has launched the Applied Research and Innovation in Small Enterprises (ARISE), Atal New India Challenge (ANIC), and the ed-tech demo day competitions in collaboration with partner ministries (see exhibit 10). Another collaboration, which falls under the aegis of the Ministry of Defense, is the Defense Innovation Organisation (DIO). The DIO has had significant impact in fostering innovation, entrepreneurship, and self-reliance in the defense sector, in line with the government’s Aatmanirbhar Bharat vision.

While these initiatives have made considerable impact, they face some challenges. For starters, startups operate in a fast-paced environment, vastly different from the government bodies that finance them. Lengthy and complicated documentation, cumbersome audit requirements, and an unhurried disbursement of grants slows down their funding. Another challenge is the lack of an integrated inter-ministerial platform to invite innovations that solve national challenges and long-term technological transitions. The AIM is working on addressing these challenges. To fast-track the pace of grant approvals and disbursements, it is trying to transition from a milestone-based to a venture capital-based financing model. The AIM also plans to create ‘innovation sandboxes,’ forums to bring together academics, innovators, and policymakers on a project basis. These sandboxes will enable a multi-disciplinary approach to solve national challenges like farm productivity, healthcare delivery, etc.

**Deep dive into the Defense Innovation Organization (DIO)**

The DIO was launched by the Ministry of Defense in 2017 to fund and support innovations in the defense and aerospace sectors. The DIO is a non-profit company set up under the Department of Defense Production (DPP) and seed-funded by Bharat Electronics Limited (BEL) and Hindustan Aeronautics Limited (HAL). BEL and HAL provide the DIO support with technical knowhow and R&D infrastructure. Though the DIO does not fall under the AIM, the AIM supports the DIO via advice on commercial aspects of product development, and through supervisory services by virtue of being represented on the DIO’s board.

In 2021, the Ministry of Defense granted the DIO budgetary support of INR 500 crore over five years, up to FY26. Of this, INR 450 crore is to be used towards grants to winners of various iDEX (explained below) competitions, INR 30 crore to develop programs at partner incubators, and INR 20 crore towards internal operations at the DIO. An additional INR 1,000 crore has been allotted by the Ministry of Defense for procurement from companies supported by the iDEX in FY 2023.

The DIO is operationalized through its platform iDEX that supports innovation through two competitions; the Defense India Startup Challenge (DISC), where proposals for predetermined problem statements are invited and the Open Challenge (OC), where companies are invited to present open-ended innovations. Winners of these competitions receive a grant of up to INR 1.5 crore each. In 2021, the iDEX launched DISC 5 across 35 problem statements, and OC 2. These competitions saw 41 and 4 winners respectively. In 2022, in addition to launching DISC 6 with 38 problem

---

*Financial Year (FY) refers to the 12 months ending 31 March.*
statements, iDEX also launched iDEX Prime, a competition with 6 problem statements and funding of up to INR 10 crore for each winner. Saif Automation Services is the first winner of an iDEX competition to secure a procurement order from the Indian defense forces in October 2021. The startup created a battery-operated self-propelled vehicle for water bodies which can be controlled remotely. This vehicle can be used for search and rescue operations and for disaster relief in flooded areas.

In addition to providing grants to the winners of its competitions, the DIO also bolsters the development of prototypes in numerous ways. The DIO has partnered with 14 incubators at key institutions such as the IITs and the IIMs, through which it supports winners of the competitions from the prototyping stage through to commercialization and procurement. Partner incubators also run programs to mentor entrepreneurs attempting to create defense technologies. The DIO gives partner incubators up to INR 40 lakhs to run each such program. The DIO aims to increase its number of partner incubators to 50 by 2023. Working with incubators allows the DIO to identify high-potential startups and build a pipeline for its competitions.

DIO also provides iDEX winners with technical support by facilitating their access to testing and research facilities, and to the expertise of various defense public sector undertakings. It also facilitates access to senior officials in the defense forces to enable fast-tracked testing, commercialization, and procurement. Winners of the competitions enjoy significant national and international exposure to other participants in the ecosystem, including manufacturers, increasing the potential for collaboration and therefore the probability of successful commercialization.

4.2 Science & Technology Clusters

An important government initiative to promote innovation in science is the Science & Technology (S&T) clusters project. Set up in 2020 at the behest of the Prime Minister's Science, Technology, and Innovation Advisory Council (PM-STIAC), under the Office of the PSA, the clusters project aims to bridge institutional voids by bringing together academia, the corporate sector, and the local administration in a collaborative ecosystem (see exhibit 11). The hope is that aggregating stakeholders in an erstwhile siloed and fragmented marketplace will lead to scale economies, trigger synergies in the research and development process, and facilitate transactions between providers and users of research. Robust research universities, anchored in vibrant innovation ecosystems, are key to both absorbing from and contributing to the global flow of idea. S&T clusters have a tri-layered structure of objectives. The foundational layer consists of building an ecosystem of collaboration between participating institutions, for example, in the form of sharing course content across institutes, working on joint R&D projects, etc. The intermediate layer comprises of problem solving in the local community and for the local and state administration. Clusters may collaborate with external partners such as local incubators to do this. The final layer consists of building sectoral capabilities and expertise to enhance competitiveness, with the ultimate goal of contributing to the strategic objectives of the Government of India.

One successful example of collaboration between academia and industry in India is the IIT-Madras Research Park. The research park has over 70 partner companies across 17 sectors, has filed over 1,300 patents, and incubated over 230 startups, of which 40% have IIT Madras faculty as founders or minority shareholders. Many large companies, such as Saint-Gobain and Mahindra, have set up or relocated their research
facilities from elsewhere in the country to Chennai, citing the IIT Madras Research Park ecosystem as the reason. In May 2022, Pfizer invested over INR 150 crore to set up the company’s first global drug development center in Asia at the Park. Similar instances of collaboration in the West also have a track record of generating tangible results. Geographic clusters such as Silicon Valley, North Carolina’s Research Triangle Park, and Cambridge’s bio-cluster, for instance, have attained international prominence for research and innovation.

So far, the PSA has granted seed funding to six S&T clusters, which function autonomously. The current framework for clusters aims to leverage skills and resources at existing institutions rather than the setting up of new multi-disciplinary institutions and centers. Each of the six clusters are centered on select themes, with the goal of building expertise and capabilities in specific areas. Clusters also operate virtual platforms to bring in domain knowledge from other domestic and international organizations that share a similar mission. Enabling virtual participation allows clusters to collaborate efficiently with non-local actors, improving outcomes.

The S&T Cluster Apex Committee (ST-CAC) is the apex body for the S&T clusters project and is chaired by the Vice-Chairman of the NITI Aayog. The committee formulates guidelines on clusters’ selection, operation, and performance evaluation. It is responsible for enabling inter-cluster collaboration, and coordination between clusters, ministries, state governments, and international institutions. It nominates a lead institution for each cluster and lays down principles for the selection of cluster CEOs. The lead institution is responsible for enabling and ensuring collaboration within the cluster, hiring a CEO and other full-time staff, and other activities required to commence operations. For instance, IIT Delhi is the lead institution for the Delhi Cluster, while the Indian Institute of Science Bangalore leads the Bangalore Cluster. Cluster CEOs typically come with a diverse range of experience from the private sector, academia, and the government.

An important objective of the ST-CAC is to monitor cluster performance through tracking measurable outcomes. Some of these outcomes include the number of solutions commercially deployed, the number of partnerships, patents, and industry-sponsored R&D projects created, and monetary value of FDI brought in. While a structure for accountability is critical, it is also important that reporting requirements are not overly onerous, particularly at initial stages. Each cluster must have the flexibility to explore problems and opportunities in their selected themes freely without being weighed down by the need for approvals, committee reviews and other bureaucratic impediments.

Clusters are not a recent phenomenon in India. The Department of Biotechnology, for example, established four bio-clusters in 2014 to promote research, development, and entrepreneurship in the sector. The Ministry of Commerce set up the Auto Cluster Development and Research Institute in Pune in 2007. However, possibly as a consequence of being set up within specific ministries, these clusters have not adequately been able to break through existing siloes and collaborate effectively with other institutions. As such the ecosystem of formal and informal networks is still nascent.
**Deep-dive into the Delhi S&T cluster**

The Delhi S&T cluster located at IIT Delhi and founded in 2021 is an example of a cluster that has made tangible progress in shaping the science and deep-tech ecosystem. The cluster currently has over 40 partners across academia, industry, research labs, and the government. Each partner gets access to a platform for collaboration, fundraising opportunities, industry connections, and strategic advisory services (see exhibit 12).

Currently, the cluster works on six themes, each of which is led by a Principal Investigator (PI) working alongside a multi-disciplinary team of participants. For each theme there is a stated goal. For instance, the social mobility theme aims to create charging, power distribution, and battery swapping infrastructure. Partners for this theme include IIT Delhi, Tata Power, Mahindra Electric, Maruti Suzuki, Google, Delhi Metro, Delhi Transport Corporation, and CSIR, and it is led by Dr. B K Panigrahi of IIT Delhi. A research project in one of the themes led to the development of a technology for recycling e-waste through pyrolysis. E-waste constitutes a significant source of waste generated today and can be dangerous if not processed appropriately. The method developed has been patented and published in leading academic journals and was awarded the SRISTI-GYTI in 2020.

Apart from working on the theme’s objectives, the cluster also undertakes specific research projects with industry partners. The cluster’s development of advanced battery and energy storage solutions, such as battery packs for EVs, in collaboration with Log9 Materials and the Centre for Automotive Research and Tribology (CART), is one example. Another is the collaboration between the Delhi cluster and a leading Indian two-wheeler manufacturer to set up a center of excellence (CoE) for mobility-based projects. The manufacturer will fund the CoE and work with the cluster on R&D projects, on training and skill development programs, and on exploring potential collaborations with startups.

The cluster also runs an educational and commercialization platform to enable growth and development of entrepreneurial ventures. Its skill development platform, PERKS (Platform for Entrepreneurship, Research, Knowledge and Skill Development), offers participants access to skill development and training programs, and other research infrastructure. Its online course on electrical engineering deployed in EV charging infrastructure, in collaboration with the CART, is accessible to all, enabling broad dissemination of knowledge generated at the cluster. In addition, the cluster is working on a startup and innovation platform that will support companies between Technology Readiness Levels (TRL) with technology development and demonstration.

While the cluster’s progress has been appreciable, it still faces numerous challenges. For one, the governance structure and reporting requirements are
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*a Themes include solid waste management, water security, air pollution, artificial intelligence & machine learning in healthcare, sustainable mobility, and effective education.

*b E-waste is electronic products that are unwanted, not working, or at the end of their useful life.

*c Pyrolysis is the heating of a material, such as biomass, in the absence of oxygen.

*d The Gandhian Young Technological Innovation award is given in collaboration with the Society for Research and Initiatives for Sustainable Technologies and Institutions, to individuals in the field of engineering, science, technology, and design.

*e Originally introduced by NASA, the TRL is a scale with nine levels for describing the maturity of a technology from the idea stage (TRL1) to the highest degree of application, commercial readiness (TRL9).
convoluted. Also, there remains a lingering apprehension amongst cluster participants about collaborating openly with partners, and moving away from the existing model of clear institutional silos. Finally, finances are also a challenge. It will be important for the cluster to raise funds from industry partners to ensure continued support for R&D projects and entrepreneurial ideas.

4.3 AIM- Program for Researchers on Innovations, Market-Readiness and Entrepreneurship (PRIME)

AIM-PRIME was launched in 2021 by the AIM in collaboration with Venture Center Pune\textsuperscript{a}, Pune Knowledge Cluster\textsuperscript{b}, Office of the Principal Scientific Adviser, and Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. The program brings together three key stakeholders: entrepreneurs at science-based startups, managers of incubators, and academicians, with the goal of taking research from labs to the market. It is a program to expedite the scaling of science-based startups over a period of nine months.

The PRIME program is a nine-month virtual education program which comprises three months of instructional sessions followed by six months of mentorship. The program is focused on four themes; energy and the environment, health and rehabilitation, industrial automation and IoT, and nutrition and agriculture, and offers resources across five scientific disciplines: chemicals and materials, biological sciences, electronics, mechanical engineering and design, and data analytics and computing. During the program, startups and academicians are paired with an incubator where they concurrently work on their ideas while completing the program. The instructional sessions cover broad topics of entrepreneurship such as marketing and funding as well as topics more pertinent to science-based innovation such as intellectual property management and regulatory strategy. The sessions consist of a combination of lectures, class exercises, panel discussions, and milestone presentations. To increase the accessibility of these sessions, they are recorded and posted on the program’s public YouTube channel.

The classroom module is followed by six months of mentoring from experts from leading academic institutions, corporates and the Venture Centre, Pune. The program also leverages global experts to enable international collaborations and partnerships. Mentorship sessions cover topics including business model development, lab to market strategy, IP and regulatory strategy, and funding opportunities. During the first cohort, teams cumulatively received over 635 hours of mentorship over the six-month period with the highest team receiving over 70 hours. Providing mentorship at early stages can significantly benefit startup progress.

The program’s first cohort was launched in 2021. Applicants were screened based on their educational background, professional experience and IP holdings. Applicants’ product proposals were also screened on parameters including novelty, knowledge intensity,\textsuperscript{c} and progress on commercialization. AIC members were screened on the number of science and deep-tech incubatees at their centers. The cohort had 64 participants from 16 incubators, 8 academic institutions, and 16 startups. Participants

\textsuperscript{a} An incubator focused on science and technology startups that was established by the CSIR’s National Chemical Laboratory (NCL), Pune and is supported by the Department of Science and Technology.

\textsuperscript{b} A S&T cluster hosted by Inter-University Center for Astronomy & Astrophysics.

\textsuperscript{c} The extent to which a firm depends on its knowledge as a source of competitive advantage.
were divided in 25 teams, with each team consisting of a minimum of one entrepreneur or faculty member, and one member from an incubator.

Participants demonstrated tangible progress through the course of the program. Progress towards commercialization, measured by Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs), increased by up to two levels during the program. TRLs are a method for understanding the maturity of a technology with TRL 1 representing the idea stage, and TRL 9 representing a product/service with proven operational success. The readiness of proposed technology was also measured on five other metrics including, team, customer, business, IP, and funding. For instance, under the Customer Readiness Level (CRL) evaluation, ideas were assessed on commercial and market viability. While CRL 1 represents hypothesizing on possible customer needs, CRL 9 represents widespread deployment of a scalable product. By the end of the program, participants saw a 22.6% rise in performance across all five parameters, with funding readiness increasing the most, by 30.1%. Participants also filed for 24 patents, of which 6 were granted, and won over 22 awards and competitions, including the iDEX, Dassault Systems 3D Experience Global Pitch - Paris, Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MEITY) Grand Challenge, and Social Alpha’s SBI Techtonic Program. This enhanced their visibility within the science and tech ecosystem.

Another initiative under AIM PRIME is the PRIME investor panel which brings together investors to mentor participants, to raise funds for proposed ventures, and to increase awareness amongst the investor community on investment opportunities in science. This initiative brings together angel investment networks, VCs, incubators, and the government, at various forums, including, panel discussions, lectures, mentorship programs, and demo-day evaluation dates. It includes investors from organizations like Venture Centre Pune, Indian Angel Network, Social Alpha, Kotak Investment Advisors and Centre for Innovation, Incubation and Entrepreneurship (CIIE) at the IIM Ahmedabad. This, amongst other initiatives, has helped AIM PRIME participants raise ~INR 20 crore. While this initiative is a step in the right direction towards building a funding ecosystem for science and deep tech startups, funding has so far been highly concentrated with just one company attracting over 50% of total funds raised. Over time, as this program is scaled up to include more investors and good quality startups, we can expect to see greater allocation and diversification of funding.

The AIM PRIME is also building an ecosystem to support graduates of the program. It runs PRIME services, through which it provides mentoring and related support to graduates. It also hosts road shows and demo days for graduates to promote their ideas, and to build investor awareness for funding opportunities in science and deep-tech.

The PRIME Playbook and the PRIME library were launched as outcomes of the first cohort. These programs aim to increase accessibility of educational materials to the broader public. The PRIME playbook is a guide for science-based entrepreneurs and faculty on how to bring research from labs to the market. It covers important concepts such as regulatory and IP management, funding and financial management, networking, and negotiation. It also contains templates for capital structure, evaluation of commercialization potential of R&D, and innovation opportunity maps. The PRIME
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A platform that connects people and business to promote sustainable innovations.

A program that supports innovations rooted in science and deep technology in the fields of health, education, climate change and agriculture.
library compliments the playbook and is a collection of curated links of books, articles, reports, videos, and websites across various business and tech focused topics.

The PRIME program is positive in intent. Many ventures emanating from the first cohort of the program have seen early signs of commercialization and funding success, and have won various awards. The fact that the entire program was run virtually is encouraging for future scalability. Though still too early to reliably measure impact, PRIME has significant potential to positively impact the science and technology ecosystem in India.

5. Summary

India should reinvest in the science-entrepreneurship nexus.

Of course, this must start with a recommitment to investment in basic science. The American inventor and policymaker Vannevar Bush’s description of Science as the Endless Frontier in 1945 rings more true today than ever with the explosion of scientific insight.³

Equally, we need entrepreneurs to feed at the trough of this scientific cornucopia. This requires alleviating the mistrust that bedevils collaboration across scientific fields and between scientists and entrepreneurs. It also requires the creation of public goods that remove the informational and contracting voids that prevent consummation of transactions between scientists and entrepreneurs (the sell side and the buy side of scientific ideas).

The institutional experiments that I have highlighted in this note (and many others, such as Startup India, UIDAI, Unified payments interface, and several at individual states) show the feasibility of the needed policy entrepreneurship. But our rhetoric needs to be even more aspirational rather than self-congratulatory, with the policy will to match.

Exhibit 1: Startup funding and number of VC funds in India

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of VC funds in India</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total funding ($ billion)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>38.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average deal size ($ million)</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of deals</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>1,545</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Number of deals by deal size**

| < $10 million | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | 728 | 485 | 410 | 543 | 637 | 1,135 |
| $10-$50 million | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | 115 | 89 | 136 | 171 | 128 | 270 |
| $50-$100 million | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | 4 | 3 | 8 | 20 | 24 | 48 |
| > $100 million | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | 7 | 12 | 17 | 22 | 20 | 92 |

**Note:** a. Number of funds registered includes Registered Venture Capital Funds and Registered Foreign Venture Capital Investors.

**Source:** Indian Venture Capital Report 2022: Bain & Company, SEBI.

Exhibit 2: Startup investments split by sector: 2011-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Number of deals</th>
<th>Funds raised ($ million)</th>
<th>% of total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ecommerce</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>13,311</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>5,183</td>
<td>22.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Services</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>5,052</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistics</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>2,044</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>1,455</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>1,297</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media &amp; Entertainment</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food and Agriculture</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>2,264</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** India Trend Book 2021, India Private Equity & Venture Capital Association and E&Y.
### Exhibit 3: Institutional infrastructure in a developed market

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of market institution</th>
<th>Function that it performs</th>
<th>Examples in capital markets</th>
<th>Examples in product markets</th>
<th>Examples in talent markets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Credibility enhancers</strong></td>
<td>Third-party certification of the claims by suppliers or customers</td>
<td>Audit committees, Auditors</td>
<td>ISO certification, CMM level certification</td>
<td>AACSB certification, ETS admission tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information analyzers and advisors</strong></td>
<td>Collect and analyze information on producers and consumers in a given market</td>
<td>Financial analysts, Credit rating agencies for companies and individuals, Financial press, Financial planners, Investment bankers</td>
<td>Consumer reports magazine, J.D. Power ratings, Industry analysts (Gartner Group), Market research firms, Autobytel, Management consultants, Audit Bureau of Circulation</td>
<td>Publications ranking universities and professional schools, Career counsellors, HR consultants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aggregators and distributors</strong></td>
<td>Provide low cost matching and other value added services for suppliers and customers through expertise and economies of scale</td>
<td>Banks, Insurance companies, Mutual funds, Venture capital and Private equity funds</td>
<td>Trading companies, Mass retailers</td>
<td>Universities, Professional training institutions, Labor unions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transaction facilitators</strong></td>
<td>Provide a platform for exchange of information, goods and services, provide support functions for consummating transactions</td>
<td>Stock, Bond, and Futures exchanges, Brokerage houses</td>
<td>eBay, Commodities exchanges, Credit card issuers, PayPal</td>
<td>Executive recruiters, Job announcement websites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjudicators</strong></td>
<td>Resolve disputes regarding law and private contracts</td>
<td>Courts and arbitrators, Bankruptcy specialists</td>
<td>Courts and arbitrators</td>
<td>Courts and arbitrators, Union arbitration specialists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regulators and other public institutions</strong></td>
<td>Create the appropriate regulatory and policy framework, and enforce it</td>
<td>SEC, FASB, NASD</td>
<td>FDA, EPA, Consumer Product Safety Commission, FCC, FTC, FAA</td>
<td>OSHA, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Unemployment insurance Agencies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit 4: Employability of engineering graduates in India

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employability of engineering graduates in India - by job role</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT Engineers:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate - ITeS operations (hardware and networking)</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software engineer - IT services</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Startup ready - IT services</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software engineer - IT product</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical design engineer</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical design engineer</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronics design engineer</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design engineer - non IT</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical design engineer</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil design engineer</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit 5: R&D spend as a % of GDP, patents, research and international co-authorship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% of GDP spent on R&amp;D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of patent applications to the US Patent and Trademarks Office

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>10,545</td>
<td>13,273</td>
<td>15,093</td>
<td>18,040</td>
<td>21,386</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td>N.A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>4,548</td>
<td>5,663</td>
<td>6,600</td>
<td>7,127</td>
<td>7,976</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td>N.A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% of patents granted by the US Patent and Trademarks Office

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td>N.A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td>N.A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% of patents granted by the Indian Patent Office

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indians</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreigners</td>
<td>83.0%</td>
<td>84.0%</td>
<td>82.6%</td>
<td>85.0%</td>
<td>88.6%</td>
<td>85.5%</td>
<td>86.6%</td>
<td>85.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number and % of total, science & engineering articles published - by country

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Total spend</th>
<th>% of total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>4,22,808 (17%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>5,28,263 (21%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>1,35,788 (5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% papers with international co-authorship (2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Exhibit 6: R&D spend percentage, by segment of the economy and PPP adjusted spending, $ billion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Government</th>
<th>Business</th>
<th>Universities</th>
<th>Private non-profit</th>
<th>Total spend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics.
Exhibit 7: Programs under the Atal Innovation Mission

Source: Report of the Expert Committee on Innovation and Entrepreneurship, Niti Aayog, August 2015
### Exhibit 8: Current initiatives bridging institutional voids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>ATL</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>PRIME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transaction Facilitator</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Bring together providers and users of risk capital</td>
<td>Bring together investors to enable participants to raise money and create awareness about opportunities in science and technology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credibility Enhancer</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Validate entrepreneurial projects that have passed muster in typically a 3-6 month period</td>
<td>Validate commercial potential of scientific research, new technologies, products, and services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Analyzer</td>
<td>Identify high school students with a science interest and aptitude</td>
<td>Convert tacit information about quality of entrepreneurial teams and/or their ideas to make these available to transaction partners</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregator</td>
<td>Facilitate distribution of training materials for high school science teachers, Aggregate mentoring services (complement to efforts of teachers) associated with formal organizations</td>
<td>Provide a means to aggregate pools of capital so as to facilitate access to these for decentralized entrepreneurs</td>
<td>Aggregate content on a public YouTube channel, and the PRIME playbook which is used for broader dissemination within the community</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Author.
**Exhibit 9: Back-of-the-envelope calculation on value generated under AIM, 2016-2021, INR crore**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIM Expenditures</td>
<td>1,511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which, investment in startups</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIM Benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit (A): Value Created by Startups and Incubators</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit (B): Value Created by Atal Tinkering Labs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Elaborating Benefit (A):**

| Mark-to-Market Value based on 2,729 startups' capital raises | 6,835 |
| Money raised (1367 cr), assume 20% dilution on average      |       |
| (excludes social spillovers from companies’ 467 patents)    |       |
| (Pessimistic) Accounting value of 14,556 new jobs @INR 30K/month salary | 524/year |
| (Less Pessimistic) Capitalized value of new jobs            | 7,486  |
| (capitalizing INR 524 cr/year at 7% social cost of capital) |       |
| AICs capital raise in matching funds                        | 58     |
| Valuation of infrastructure                                |         |
| Benefit (A) Total                                          |         |
| Conservative: ~30x return                                  | 7,417   |
| Less Conservative: >50x return                             | 14,379  |

**Elaborating Benefit (B):**

| 75 lakh students sensitized to ideas of innovation and entrepreneurship |
| Conservative value: Accounting cost of such exposure               | 750     |
| (assuming it costs students INR 1000 for equivalent course)      |         |
| Less Conservative: Capitalized value of such exposure            | 10,714  |
| INR 750 cr at 7% social cost of capital                           |         |
| Student ‘mindset’ earns perpetuity value of incremental earnings attributable to creativity |         |

**Total Benefits (A+B)**

| Conservative: (5x return)                                  | 8,167   |
| Less Conservative: (17x return)                           | 25,093  |

**Source:** Author computations and Dr Chintan Vaishnav, Atal Innovation Mission.
### Exhibit 10: Other initiatives and programs of the AIM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Atal Community Innovation Centers (ACICs)</strong></td>
<td>The ACICs are physical centers which aim to promote the benefits of technology-led innovation in underserved regions of India. These include Tier 2, Tier 3 cities, aspirational districts, tribal, hilly, and coastal areas. There are currently 12 ACICs in operation, and the AIM gives each center a grant of up to INR 2.5 crores over five years. Along with the physical infrastructure, the ACICs provide innovators in the centers with mentoring, networking, incubation, and funding support. These centers enable members from the local community to convert grassroots innovation into products and services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Applied Research and Innovation in Small Enterprises (ARISE)</strong></td>
<td>ARISE is an initiative of AIM in collaboration with partner ministries, and some organizations including ISRO, launched to promote research and innovation at MSMEs and promote self-reliance. Through this program, partner ministries set out specific problem statements for which MSMEs conduct research and develop products. A grant of up to INR 50 lakh is made to winners to develop a prototype. For example, under ARISE 1.0, launched in 2020, the Ministry of Defense invited applications for AI-based predictive models for the maintenance of plant and machinery, and for the development of a modem for high-definition data communication. Similarly, other participating ministries invited applications for projects within their areas. The AIM has approved over INR 11.6 crores in grants for winners of ARISE 1.0 which will be disbursed over 9-12 months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Atal New India Challenge (ANIC)</strong></td>
<td>The ANIC is a competition launched by the AIM to promote technology-based innovation in sectors of national importance. ANIC 1.0 was launched in 2018 in partnership with nine ministries, Railways, Housing and Urban Affairs, Agriculture, Road Transport and Highways, and Jal Shakti. The competition had 24 challenge areas and received over 900 applications. Ultimately, the ministries selected 30 innovations from 12 challenge areas to receive grants of up to INR 1 crore each over 12-18 months. INR 22.85 crore was approved in total, of which INR 6.85 crore has been disbursed. ANIC 2.0 was launched in April 2022 with 18 challenges from seven sectors; EV charging infrastructure, smart mobility, AI and machine learning for space applications, medical devices, sanitation, waste management, and smart agriculture.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*a* Aspirational districts is a program launched under NITI Aayog in 2018 with an objective of transforming 112 of the most backward districts across 28 states. The program aims to expedite transformation of these districts through the convergence of central and state schemes, collaboration between all levels of government and competition between aspirational districts. The program focuses on five main themes, health & nutrition, education, agriculture & water resources, financial inclusion, skill development and basic infrastructure.

*b* Ministries of Defense, Food Processing, Health and Family Welfare, and Housing and Urban Affairs.

*c* The Ministry of Jal Shakti includes the Department of Water Resources, the Department of River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation.
### Exhibit 10 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>India Australia Circular Economy (I-ACE) Hackathon</strong></td>
<td>I-ACE is a competition jointly organized by the AIM and the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO) of Australia. The program seeks to foster innovative solutions in developing a circular economy within the food system value chain. Such international collaborations are an opportunity for Indian startups to learn from the best practices of startups in other regions and to collaborate on research by sharing resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AIM-iCrest</strong></td>
<td>iCrest is a program jointly developed by the AIM, Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, and the Wadhwani Foundation. The program is a structured capacity-building effort to enable incubators in India to implement world-class entrepreneurship programs, build credibility, and become financially sustainable. The program uses the best practices from over 200 accelerators and incubators globally to bridge existing gaps at the AICs and EICs.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AIM iLEAP</strong></td>
<td>AIM launched the iLEAP program with Startup Réseau with the objective of overcoming two significant bottlenecks startups face: market and investor access. This program provides AIM-backed startups access to Startup Réseau's global network of mentors, and investors. Five verticals of the program are fin-tech, cyber security, med-tech for home-based solutions, climate-tech for fighting air pollution, and audio-tech.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demo Day for Ed-Tech</strong></td>
<td>Demo Day is a competition organized by the Ministry of Education (MoE) in collaboration with the AIM for companies working on educational solutions for children with special needs. This competition provides a national level platform for companies to showcase latest innovations such as assistive teaching technologies and adaptive equipment. Such programs help innovators and entrepreneurs get exposure to potential customers, mentors and investors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agri-Tech Challenge 2021</strong></td>
<td>The Agri-Tech competition is an international collaboration of the AIM with by the UN Capital Development Fund (UNCDF), the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, Rabo Foundation, International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD), and Bayer. The competition aims at supporting startups that are finding solutions to three large obstacles that small farmers face: low productivity, climate risk and inefficient supply chains. Selected participants receive support in the form of industry and sector linkages, investor connects and financial grants to enable international expansion in Asia and Africa.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

107 Startup Réseau is a network of Startups, Enterprises, Capital, Markets, and Services, designed to bring in a structured interface for enabling collaboration in the ecosystem. It was founded in 2019 by Ajay Ramasubramanium in Mumbai and operates across India and Africa. Startup Reseau has conceptualized and operated accelerator programs for various corporates and also actions CSR driven mandates for the promotion of entrepreneurship and startups.
### Exhibit 10 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AIM Youth Co:Lab India</strong></td>
<td>Youth Co:Lab was co-created by the UNDP Asia Pacific and the Citi Foundation in 2017 and is a program that aims to strengthen youth-led innovation and social entrepreneurship. The AIM launched the Youth Co:Lab program in India. The fourth edition of the event was held in 2021 and focused on identifying and supporting young entrepreneurs across certain themes, including the circular economy, waste management, sustainable transportation, e-mobility, sustainable tourism, and sustainable food tech.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Climate Entrepreneurship Hub (CEH)</strong></td>
<td>The CEH is a program launched by the UNDP India and the AIM to promote a multi-stakeholder alliance for green innovation and climate entrepreneurship in India. The CEH creates an enabling ecosystem through access to specialized business support and mentorship that are not available at other, more traditional incubators.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vernacular Innovation Program (VIP)</strong></td>
<td>The VIP is an initiative of the AIM designed to lower language barriers by translating design thinking and entrepreneurship resources into 22 local languages. AIM has created a Vernacular Task Force (VTF) for each language, comprising of vernacular language teachers, subject experts, writers, and members of the regional AIC. The AIM has also partnered with the design department of the IIT Delhi to train all VTFs in design thinking and entrepreneurship concepts to enable them to contextualize and translate these resources to their respective languages. By making educational resources on design thinking and entrepreneurship available in 22 languages, the accessibility of these resources will significantly increase to include previously underserved sections of the population. This will help local communities innovate to find grassroot solutions to their own challenges independently. This initiative will help improve the accessibility of all programs under the AIM ecosystem including ATLs, AICs and ACICs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Atal Innovation Mission.
Exhibit 11: Pilot clusters: lead institutions, focus sectors and funding raised

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>Lead Institution</th>
<th>Focus sectors</th>
<th>Funding raised</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyderabad</td>
<td>Research and Innovation Circle of Hyderabad</td>
<td>Life Sciences, Food &amp; Agriculture and Sustainability</td>
<td>INR 4.15 crores from Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics (FIND), Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, and Ministry of Agriculture &amp; Farmer’s Welfare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pune</td>
<td>Inter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics</td>
<td>Sustainability &amp; Environment, Health, Big Data &amp; AI and Sustainable Mobility</td>
<td>INR 4.19 crores from Schlumberger, Hindustan Unilever, Rockefeller Foundation and Cummins India Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delhi-NCR</td>
<td>Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi</td>
<td>Solid Waste Management, Water Security, Air Pollution AI/ML in Healthcare, Sustainable Mobility and Effective Education</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhubaneswar</td>
<td>Kalinga Institute of Industrial Technology</td>
<td>Quantum Engineered Advanced Materials, Waste to Value, Wetland Management, Biosciences and Polymer based Interventions</td>
<td>INR 3.38 crores from industrialist Mr. Subroto Bagchi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jodhpur</td>
<td>Indian Institute of Technology, Jodhpur</td>
<td>Medical Technologies, Handicraft &amp; Handlooms, i-governance, Thar Designs, Water &amp; Environment and IoT Innovation</td>
<td>INR 15.53 crores from Department of Biotechnology (DBT), Jal Jeevan Mission, Ministry of Jal Shakti, Siemens and Canara Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangalore</td>
<td>Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore</td>
<td>Health &amp; Wellness, Urban Life and Futuristic Technologies &amp; Solutions</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Office of the Principal Scientific Adviser to the Government of India.
### Exhibit 12: Example of a cluster’s constituents; select participants of the Delhi S&T cluster

| Academic Institutions | • Indian Institute of Technology (IIT), Delhi (Lead Institution)  
|                       | • Indian Institute of Technology (IIT), Dhanbad  
|                       | • Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU)  
|                       | • Delhi Technological University (DTU)  
|                       | • University of Delhi  
|                       | • Netaji Subhas University of Technology (NSUT)  
|                       | • All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS, New Delhi)  
|                       | • Indraprastha Institute of Information Technology (IIIT, Delhi)  
|                       | • Ashoka University  
|                       | • BML Munjal University  
| Government Labs       | • CSIR-Central Road Research Institute (CRRI)  
|                       | • CSIR-Institute of Genomics and Integrative Biology (IGIB)  
|                       | • ICAR – Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI)  
| Government Agencies   | • Delhi Transport Corporation (DTC)  
|                       | • Delhi Metro Rail Corporation (DMRC)  
|                       | • Delhi Pollution Control Committee (DPCC)  
| Private Companies     | • Tata Power  
|                       | • Mahindra Power  
|                       | • BSES-Rajdhani Power Limited  
|                       | • BSES-Yamuna Power Limited  
|                       | • Google  
|                       | • PhonePe  
|                       | • Panasonic Batteries  
|                       | • Tata Steel  
|                       | • Hyundai  
|                       | • Swiggy  
|                       | • Zomato  
|                       | • BASF  
|                       | • Central Square Foundation  
| International Organisations | • World Economic Forum (WEF)  
|                       | • United National Development Program (UNDP)  

*Source*: Office of the Principal Scientific Adviser to the Government of India, Delhi Research Implementation, and Innovation (Delhi S&T Cluster).
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